

REMARKS

This is in response to the Official Action of December 16, 2004. Entry of this Amendment and allowance is respectfully requested.

First, a new set of corrected drawings have been included to add the numeral 53.

Insofar as the second objection regarding the numeral 56 in paragraph 3 of the Official Action, the specification has been amended to include that numeral in the description. No new matter has been entered.

Also, the specification has been added to correct the errors pointed out in paragraph 4 of the Official Action.

In regard to the amended claims, as pointed out above, the Applicant filed a Preliminary Amendment, but it is respectfully requested that this Amendment replace the Preliminary Amendment that was not mentioned in the Official Action. The claims with this Amendment show changes from the original claims to arrive at the claims being presented.

This includes claims 10-17, which are amended to correct and clarify language.

The Examiner rejected claims 1 and 6 as being anticipated by the Oliver Patent No. 3,794,353. However, the Applicant believes that the Oliver patent does not anticipate claim 1 as it was drafted, but in that the pivoting of the Oliver patent do not appear to be substantially 180°, as specified in original claim 1, but the Applicant has now incorporated the subject matter of claim 2 into claim 1, and since claim 2 was indicated as being allowable, it is now believed that claim 1 as amended is allowable.

Claims 3 and 4 have been rewritten as independent claims to incorporate the subject matter of the base claim 1, and thus it is believed that claims 3 and 4 as amended are allowable.

Claims 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 all depend from claim 1, and thus are allowable therewith.

Claims 10-17 were indicated as being allowed, but again, the minor language changes are for clarification, and it is believed that they do not affect the allowability of the claims.

In regard to the Information Disclosure Statement, the Applicant is sending in a Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement and including copies of the articles and "other art" that was listed. It is believed that such copies included the original mailing, but since the copies were not individually listed on the return card, the Applicant is paying the \$180.00 fee for consideration of this art, and thus it is respectfully requested that enclosed PTO Form 1449 be initialed and returned as having been reviewed. The catalog sheets and other materials submitted is only of general interest, it is believed. A new citation of a page from the 2001 Kuryakyn Catalog has been added.

Additionally, the additional fee for one independent claim more than 3 is included herewith.

Favorable action is respectfully requested.

The Director is authorized to charge any fee deficiency required by this paper or credit any overpayment to deposit account No. 23-1123.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTMAN, CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A.

By: 

Nickolas E. Westman, Reg.No. 20,147
Suite 1600 - International Centre
900 Second Avenue South
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-3319
Phone: (612) 334-3222 Fax: (612) 334-3312

NEW:lah